# LETTER

LYMPHOMA

# Circulating tumor cells in Waldenström macroglobulinemia

Clémentine Boccon-Gibod<sup>1,5 \Box}</sup>, Elise Sourdeau<sup>2,5</sup>, Pierre Morel <sup>3,5</sup>, Elise Chapiro <sup>2,4</sup>, Florence Nguyen-Khac<sup>2,4</sup>, Clotilde Bravetti<sup>2,4</sup>, Frédéric Davi<sup>2,4</sup>, Véronique Morel<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Gauthier<sup>1</sup>, Adrien Grenier<sup>1</sup>, Inès Boussen<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain Choquet <sup>1</sup>, Véronique Leblond<sup>1</sup>, Magali Le Garff-Tavernier<sup>2,4,6</sup>, Marine Baron<sup>1,6</sup> and Damien Roos-Weil <sup>1,4,6 \Box</sup>

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2024

Leukemia; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-024-02156-3

### TO THE EDITOR:

Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) is a rare mature B-cell neoplasm defined by the association of a serum monoclonal IgM gammopathy and bone marrow (BM) infiltration by lymphoplasmocytic lymphoma [1]. Differential diagnosis with other indolent B-cell lymphomas, including marginal zone lymphoma (MZL), is sometimes difficult, due to overlapping clinico-biological features. Indeed, detection of a monoclonal IgM component is common in MZL, and the MYD88 L265P mutation, a hallmark of WM, can also be detected in a proportion of MZL cases [2, 3], whereas splenomegaly and lymphocytosis, though more commonly associated with MZL, is sometimes observed in WM. Although WM patients with cytologically detectable circulating lymphoplasmocytes have been described, the exact proportion of WM patients who present with circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and the potential correlation with clinico-biological characteristics is not known. Molecular features may influence the presence and level of CTCs, notably CXCR4 mutations that have been shown to increase medullar homing of CXCR4-mutated B-cells [4, 5]. This could be also relevant for prognosis, since the detection of CTCs in other lymphoid neoplasms (such as follicular lymphoma or myeloma) has been recently shown to confer a poorer prognosis [6, 7]. We therefore aimed in a large cohort of WM patients to evaluate the presence of detectable CTCs by flow cytometry (FCM) at diagnosis, their potential correlation with clinico-biological features and outcomes.

Among 270 patients diagnosed from 2000 to 2021 and followed up in Pitié-Salpêtrière hospital (Paris, France), 187 patients had peripheral blood (PB) FCM analysis performed at diagnosis and were included in this retrospective study. Criteria for diagnosis, treatment initiation and response followed the WHO classification and recommendations from the eleventh IWWM [8]. All patients underwent BM biopsy and IgM-MGUS cases were excluded. CTC counts were assessed by multiparameter FCM analysis (see details in Supplementary methods and Table S1), allowing for the detection of phenotypically aberrant clonal CD19+ B-cells harboring light-chain restriction in line with patient-specific IgM monotype. Briefly, FCM analyses were performed on erythrocytelysed blood samples. Several monoclonal antibodies panels were used regarding the period of analyses (Supplementary Table S1). After washing serum Ig, a stain-and-then-lyse direct immunofluorescence technique was used to evaluate all surface markers. Data were acquired on FACSCanto<sup>™</sup> II cytometer (BD Biosciences) (with a target of 10, 000 CD19+ events stored), processed and analyzed on FACSDivaTM (BD Biosciences). Markers were evaluated in mature B cells identified as CD19+/SSC low cells. An example of gating strategy is described in details in Supplementary Fig. S1. CTC counts were expressed as absolute numbers in giga per liter (x10<sup>9</sup>/L). Routine cytogenetic analyzes and allelespecific PCR for MYD88 L265P and CXCR4 S338X were performed for 133, 132 and 127/187 respectively (see Supplementary methods and ref. [9]), in bone marrow samples. Additionally, targeted NGS of 21 genes (MYD88, CXCR4, TP53, MLL2, ARID1A, CARD11, CD79A, CD79B, SPI1, TNFAIP3, PRDM1, EZH2, IKZF3, NOTCH2, KLF2, NFKBIE, HIST1H1E, CREBBP, TBL1XR1, ETV6 and IRF4) was performed for 127 patients for whom DNA material was sufficient (see Supplementary Table S2 for genomic coordinates of targeted regions). The study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and with ethical approval from national (CNIL 2212382) and local (CPP Ile-De-France 05212014) ethics committees. Time to first treatment (TFT) was analyzed in asymptomatic patients, progression-free survival (PFS) in symptomatic patients and overall survival (OS) in the entire cohort as well as specifically in those of asymptomatic or symptomatic patients. Additional information regarding genetic and statistical analyses is available in Supplementary methods.

Main clinical and biological characteristics of the FCM cohort (n = 187) were not statistically different from those of the entire WM cohort and are summarized in Supplemental Table S3. Briefly, median age was 63 years (interquartile [IQR25-75], 54–71); median values for IgM levels and BM infiltration were 14.6 g/L (IQR, 7.8–24.5) and 31% (IQR, 20–58) respectively. Fourteen percent of patients presented with splenomegaly and 26% had elevated LDH. Absolute lymphocytosis, defined as total lymphocyte counts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sorbonne Université, Service d'Hématologie Clinique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, APHP, Paris, France. <sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Université, Service d'Hématologie Biologique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, APHP, Paris, France. <sup>3</sup>CHU Amiens Hôpital Sud, Amiens, France. <sup>4</sup>Drug Resistance in Hematological Malignancies, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, UMRS 1138, INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, F-75006 Paris, France. <sup>5</sup>These authors contributed equally: Clémentine Boccon-Gibod, Elise Sourdeau, Pierre Morel. <sup>6</sup>These authors jointly supervised this work: Magali Le Garff-Tavernier, Marine Baron, Damien Roos-Weil. <sup>⊠</sup>email: clementine.boccongibod@aphp.fr; damien.roosweil@aphp.fr



**Fig. 1 Circulating tumor cells (CTC) counts and correlation with clinical and biological characteristics. A** Distribution of CTC counts among the WM cohort, expressed in giga per liter ( $\times 10^{9}$ /L) and represented in exponential scale, and (**B**) their corresponding clinical (elevated LDH, splenomegaly) and molecular (*MYD88* and *CXCR4* mutations) features. Each bar or box represent a patient sample. **C** Proportion of patients with selected clinical (elevated LDH, splenomegaly) and molecular (*MYD88* and *CXCR4* mutations) features. Each bar or box represent a patient sample. **C** Proportion of patients with selected clinical (elevated LDH, splenomegaly) and molecular (*MYD88* and *CXCR4* mutations) features in different subgroups according to the absence of detectable CTCs (undetected; n = 51, white bar), CTC between  $0-0.1 \times 10^{9}/L$  (n = 66, light blue),  $0.1-1 \times 10^{9}/L$  (n = 40, dark blue),  $>1\times10^{9}/L$  (n = 30, purple), and absolute lymphocytosis (defined by lymphocytes  $>4 \times 10^{9}/L$ ; n = 23, red). All patients with absolute lymphocytosis were included in the CTC  $> 1 \times 10^{9}/L$  group. Percentages are indicated at the top of each bar. Statistical comparisons were performed between the selected group and the rest of the cohort. \*p < 0.05. CTC circulating tumor cell, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, WM Waldenström macroglobulinemia, WT wild-type.

>4 × 10<sup>9</sup>/L, was observed in 23 cases (12.5%). MYD88 L265P mutations were detected in 120/132 (90%) by AS-PCR and NGS and CXCR4 mutations in 35/127 (28%) by AS-PCR and/or NGS (see Supplemental Table S3 and S4 for details and list of mutations identified by targeted NGS). Specifically, CXCR4 mutations were frameshift and nonsense in respectively 13/35 (37%) and 22/35 (63%), and 21/35 (60%) affected S338 amino-acid. With a median follow-up of six years (IQR, 3.5-12.5), 76% (n = 141) of the cohort required treatment. Main reasons for therapy initiation are summarized in Supplementary Table S5. First-line (1 L) treatment consisted mainly in chemo-immunotherapy with rituximab (67%) or chemotherapy alone (27%). No patient was treated with a BTK inhibitor in 1 L. Median time to first treatment (TFT) for asymptomatic patients was 79.7 months; 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were 59% and 91% respectively (Supplementary Fig. S2). Among the 187 patients with blood FCM analysis at diagnosis, 136 (72.5%) had detectable CTCs, including 67/99 (67%) asymptomatic and 69/88 (78%) symptomatic WM. Median CTC count was  $0.11 \times 10^9$ /L (range, 0.0003-9.1; IQR25-75, 0.027-0.76) representing 3% of total lymphocyte counts (range, 0.5-87) and 1.2% of total whole blood cells (WBC) (range, 0.2-61). Thirty-five percent (n = 66) had CTCs below  $0.1 \times 10^9$ /L, 21.5% (*n* = 40) between 0.1 and  $1 \times 10^9$ /L and 16% (*n* = 30) over  $1 \times 10^9$ /L, including 4% (7/187) with  $4 \times 10^9$ /L.

The CTC distribution in the population is shown in Fig. 1A. Characteristics of patients with and without detectable CTCs are presented in Supplemental Table S6. Briefly, patients with detectable CTCs more often presented with hyperviscosity syndrome (10 vs. 0%, p = 0.02), had higher BM infiltration (median, 35 vs. 22%,  $p = 2.10^{-4}$ ), and showed a trend for more frequent need for therapy at diagnosis (51 vs. 31%, p = 0.05). CTCs levels between asymptomatic and symptomatic WM patients were also

2

| Table 1. | Correlation | between | main | parameters | and | circulating | tumor | clone | size. |
|----------|-------------|---------|------|------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
|----------|-------------|---------|------|------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------|-------|

| Variable                        |             | Mean (10 <sup>9</sup> /L) | 95% CI                | Р      |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--|
| Genetic parameters              |             |                           |                       | P*     |  |
| del6q                           | No/Yes      | 0.89/0.46                 | 0.50-1.27/0.07-0.85   | 0.11   |  |
| del17p                          | No/Yes      | 0.79/1.09                 | 0.46-1.12/0.00-2.71   | 0.70   |  |
| MYD88 mutation                  | No/Yes      | 0.02/0.77                 | 0.00-0.05/0.45-1.10   | 0.001  |  |
| L265P                           | No/Yes      | 1.33/0.67                 | 0.00-3.07/0.37-0.96   | 0.42   |  |
| Other                           | No/Yes      | 0.71/3.17                 | 0.38-1.05/0.00-7.70   | 0.20   |  |
| CXCR4 mutation                  | No/Yes      | 0.87/0.38                 | 0.48-1.26/0.02-0.74   | 0.06   |  |
| S338X                           | No/Yes      | 0.86/0.13                 | 0.50-1.23/0.00-0.29   | 0.0003 |  |
| Non-S338X                       | No/Yes      | 0.85/0.79                 | 0.45-1.24/0.00-1.79   | 0.92   |  |
| ARID1A mutation                 | No/Yes      | 0.89/0.15                 | 0.50-1.28/0.15-0.30   | 0.0005 |  |
| TP53 mutation                   | No/Yes      | 0.90/0.15                 | 0.50-1.29/0.00-0.40   | 0.001  |  |
| MLL2 mutation                   | No/Yes      | 0.71/0.60                 | 0.28-1.15/0.00-1.69   | 0.86   |  |
| SPI1 mutation                   | No/Yes      | 0.73/3.55                 | 0.40-1.07/0.00-8.90   | 0.25   |  |
| Clinical parameters             |             |                           |                       | P*     |  |
| Sex                             | Male/Female | 0.52/0.73                 | 0.25-0.79/0.34-1.12   | 0.36   |  |
| Hyperviscosity syndrome         | No/Yes      | 0.56/0.56                 | 0.35-0.77/0.00-1.46   | 0.99   |  |
| Adenopathy                      | No/Yes      | 0.54/0.63                 | 0.30-0.77/0.19-1.07   | 0.70   |  |
| Splenomegaly                    | No/Yes      | 0.40/1.61                 | 0.23-0.56/0.55-2.66   | 0.03   |  |
| Cytopenia                       | No/Yes      | 0.43/0.80                 | 0.22-0.64/0.37-1.24   | 0.12   |  |
| Biological parameters           |             | Parameter<br>estimate     | R square              | F test |  |
| IgM spike                       | Continuous  | 0.008                     | 0.006                 | 0.31   |  |
| Bone marrow infiltration        | Continuous  | 0.012                     | 0.05                  | 0.01   |  |
| Hemoglobin                      | Continuous  | -0.10                     | 0.03                  | 0.03   |  |
| Platelets                       | Continuous  | -0.0014                   | 0.015                 | 0.12   |  |
| LDH                             | Continuous  | 0.59                      | 0.04                  | 0.02   |  |
| B2-microglobulin                | Continuous  | 0.016                     | 0.001                 | 0.27   |  |
| Outcomes                        |             | Hazard-ratio (95% CI)     | Hazard-ratio (95% CI) |        |  |
| Time to first treatment $^{\$}$ |             | 1.138 [1.03–1.26]         | 1.138 [1.03–1.26]     |        |  |
| Progression free survival^      |             | 1.002 [1.00–1.19]         |                       | 0.98   |  |
| Overall survival                |             | 1.064 [0.83–1.36]         |                       | 0.62   |  |

\*t test, \*\*, proportional hazard regression model. <sup>5</sup>, in asymptomatic patients. ^, in symptomatic patients. The relationship between CTC count and other continuous biological characteristics was assessed using linear regression models. CTC count was used as a continuous explanatory variable in proportional hazard models of survival after checking the validity of the underlying assumption with the Grambsch and Therneau test.

Significant P and F test values below 0.05 are indicated in bold.

Cl confidence interval, del deletion.

different (median, 0.04 vs.  $0.13 \times 10^9$ /L, p = 0.02). Splenomegaly was also more frequent although this did not reach statistical significance (16% vs. 6%, p = 0.09). There was no difference between the two groups regarding the prevalence of *MYD88* and *CXCR4* mutations or recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities. No significant difference was observed in terms of TFT, PFS and OS (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Since the range of distribution of CTC counts was large, we then assessed their correlation and impact as a continuous variable (Table 1), to highlight potential associations that were not identified by our initial dichotomous (with vs. without detectable CTC) approach. Higher CTC counts, as a continuous variable, correlated with increased prevalence of splenomegaly (p = 0.02), elevated LDH (p = 0.01), higher BM infiltration percentage (p = 0.01) and lower hemoglobin level (p = 0.04) at diagnosis. This translated into a significantly shortened TFT (p = 0.01, HR 1.138 [95%CI, 1.03–1.26]) in asymptomatic patients, meaning that the risk of first treatment increased by 13.8% for a one-point

increase (in 10<sup>9</sup>/L) in CTC. However, no significant impact of higher CTC counts was observed on PFS or OS in univariate analysis and on TFT in multivariate analysis (Supplementary Table S7). Among genetic abnormalities, CXCR4 S338X mutations were significantly associated with lower CTC counts (p = 0.0003) (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). Interestingly, other (non-S338X) CXCR4 variants did not impact CTC counts (p = 0.92). In this cohort, ARID1A and TP53 mutations were also associated with lower CTC counts: however these results should be taken with caution given the low number of mutated patients. No correlation between CTC counts and any other gene mutation (including MYD88 L265P) or recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities was observed (Table 1). Concordant data were also observed when comparing the different subgroups of patients with no detectable CTCs, CTCs 0-0.1, 0.1-1 and >1, as illustrated in Fig. 1C. The positive association of CTC presence and counts with splenomegaly and elevated LDH raises the question of differential diagnosis with other lymphoma subtypes, notably splenic MZL (SMZL).

3

Looking specifically at patients with absolute lymphocytosis (n = 23; median CTC counts of  $2.9 \times 10^9$ /L [range, 1.7-9.1]) (Fig. 1C), eight (34%) had splenomegaly and 10 (43%) elevated LDH (vs. 10% and 22% in the rest of the cohort, p = 0.001 for both comparisons). *MYD88* mutations were identified in 19/19 (100%) (L265P, 16; other, 3), while *CXCR4* mutations were observed in 2/19 (10.5%) (S338X, 0; other, 2) and del6q in 4/21 (19%), which was not statistically different from the rest of the cohort. This, along with the absence of *NOTCH2* and *KLF2* mutations (among 107 patients successfully screened), recurrent in SMZL [3], suggests cases were not SMZLs misdiagnosed as WM.

Our study has some limits inherent to its retrospective nature. Although FCM techniques have improved over years with a rate of CTC detection slightly higher in the most recent period, this only concerns very small clones  $<0.1 \times 10^9$ /L (Supplementary Table S1) and it should be noted that the median rate and distribution of CTCs did not significantly differ during the study period. We believe analysis of CTC populations as a continuous variable also limits this potential bias. Certain key markers such as CD25 and LAIR1 [10] were not used in our work and future studies will be needed to define which panel with which antibodies is most sensitive for the detection of CTCs. Main cytogenetic/molecular features were not available for the entire cohort. However, we did not observe any difference between the groups of patients with or without cytogenetic/molecular data, with regard to usual clinico-biological characteristics, and the median CTC count and its distribution (Supplementary Table S8).

We identified in this large monocentric cohort of WM patients that most patients (73%) at diagnosis harbor detectable CTCs. In most cases, low counts were observed, in line with the absence of absolute lymphocytosis generally observed in WM. To our knowledge, this is the largest study reporting systematic evaluation by FCM of detectable CTCs in WM, and the first to correlate these data with extensive cytogenetic and molecular features. Several studies have reported the detection of the MYD88 L265P mutation by DNA sequencing in PB cells, indirectly suggesting the presence of CTCs, in up to 98% of WM cases, though with highly variable sensitivity (range, 39–98%) depending on the technique used [11, 12]. Collectively, this data suggests that CTCs are very frequent in WM at diagnosis and could therefore allow phenotypic description of the tumor population in PB, for the vast majority of patients. However, it should not replace BM biopsy for diagnosis.

We also showed that the proportion of patients with detectable CTCs was significantly higher in symptomatic WM patients and that CTC levels were associated with a shorter TFT in asymptomatic patients. The presence of increasing levels of CTCs was associated with other specific features, notably the presence of splenomegaly and elevated LDH, which could represent a gray zone group of patients between SMZL and WM, with cytogenetic and molecular features similar to other WM patients, but a clinical presentation more closely resembling SMZL.

With regards to correlation with intrinsic biology, we identified a striking association between the presence of *CXCR4* S338X mutation and significantly lower rate of CTCs, not observed with other *CXCR4* variants. This is consistent with recent in vitro studies showing that there is a genotype- phenotype correlation among *CXCR4* variants, with *CXCR4* S338X associated with the strongest chemotaxis impairment [13]. These data could also partly explain the poorer response and PFS on ibrutinib observed in this group of patients [14, 15], in whom the BM niche may play a protective role, counteracting ibrutinib activity. Although the levels of CTCs did not impact PFS and OS in our cohort treated with 1 L chemo-immunotherapy, this might be explored in prospective studies and in the context of BTK inhibitors.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, DRW, upon reasonable request.

#### REFERENCES

- Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, Harris NL, Stein H, Siebert R, et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. Blood. 2016;127:2375–90.
- Treon SP, Xu L, Yang G, Zhou Y, Liu X, Cao Y, et al. MYD88 L265P somatic mutation in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:826–33.
- Bonfiglio F, Bruscaggin A, Guidetti F, Terzi di Bergamo L, Faderl M, Spina V, et al. Genetic and phenotypic attributes of splenic marginal zone lymphoma. Blood. 2022;139:732–47.
- Biajoux V, Natt J, Freitas C, Alouche N, Sacquin A, Hemon P, et al. Efficient plasma cell differentiation and trafficking require Cxcr4 desensitization. Cell Rep. 2016;17:193–205.
- Freitas C, Wittner M, Nguyen J, Rondeau V, Biajoux V, Aknin M-L, et al. Lymphoid differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells requires efficient Cxcr4 desensitization. J Exp Med. 2017;214:2023–40.
- Jelinek T, Bezdekova R, Zihala D, Sevcikova T, Anilkumar Sithara A, Pospisilova L, et al. More than 2% of circulating tumor plasma cells defines plasma cell leukemia-like multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41:1383–92.
- Sarkozy C, Baseggio L, Feugier P, Callet-Bauchu E, Karlin L, Seymour JF, et al. Peripheral blood involvement in patients with follicular lymphoma: a rare disease manifestation associated with poor prognosis. Br J Haematol. 2014;164:659–67.
- Treon SP, Tedeschi A, San-Miguel J, Garcia-Sanz R, Anderson KC, Kimby E, et al. Report of consensus Panel 4 from the 11th International Workshop on Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia on diagnostic and response criteria. Seminars Hematol. 2023;60:97–106.
- Krzisch D, Guedes N, Boccon-Gibod C, Baron M, Bravetti C, Davi F, et al. Cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia patients: Correlations and prognostic impact. Am J Hematol. 2021;96:1569–79.
- Multiparameter flow cytometry for the identification of the Waldenström's clone in IgM-MGUS and Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia: new criteria for differential diagnosis and risk stratification - PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ 23604227/ (accessed 17 Dec2023).
- Drandi D, Genuardi E, Dogliotti I, Ferrante M, Jiménez C, Guerrini F, et al. Highly sensitive MYD88L265P mutation detection by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Haematologica. 2018;103:1029–37.
- Xu L, Hunter ZR, Yang G, Cao Y, Liu X, Manning R, et al. Detection of MYD88 L265P in peripheral blood of patients with Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. Leukemia. 2014;28:1698–704.
- Zmajkovicova K, Pawar S, Maier-Munsa S, Maierhofer B, Wiest I, Skerlj R, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlations in WHIM syndrome: a systematic characterization of CXCR4WHIM variants. Genes Immun. 2022;23:196–204.
- Gustine JN, Xu L, Tsakmaklis N, Demos MG, Kofides A, Chen JG, et al. CXCR4S338X clonality is an important determinant of ibrutinib outcomes in patients with Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Blood Adv. 2019;3:2800–3.
- Castillo JJ, Meid K, Gustine JN, Leventoff C, White T, Flynn CA, et al. Long-term follow-up of ibrutinib monotherapy in treatment-naive patients with Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Leukemia. 2022;36:532–9.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by grants from INCA-DGOS-Inserm\_12560 (SiRIC CURAMUS is financially supported by the French National Cancer Institute, the French Ministry of Solidarity and Health and Inserm with financial support from ITMO Cancer AVIESAN), Fondation ARC and Ligue contre le Cancer (to DRW).

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CBG, ES, MLT, MB and DRW designed the research, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. CBG, ES, PM, EC, FNK, CB, FD, MLT, MB and DRW performed experiments. CBG, PM, VM, NG, AG, IB, VL, SC, MB and DRW recruited patients. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-024-02156-3.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Clémentine Boccon-Gibod or Damien Roos-Weil.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.