REVIEW ARTICLE **OPEN** Zanubrutinib: past, present, and future

Constantine S. Tam $\mathbb{D}^{1,5}$ $\mathbb{D}^{1,5}$ $\mathbb{D}^{1,5}$, Javier L. Muño[z](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9060-8911) $\mathbb{D}^{2,5}$ $\mathbb{D}^{2,5}$ $\mathbb{D}^{2,5}$, John F. Seymour \mathbb{D}^3 \mathbb{D}^3 and Stephen Opat \mathbb{D}^4 \mathbb{D}^4

© The Author(s) 2023

In recent years, Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors have provided significant advances in the treatment of patients with B-cell malignancies. Ibrutinib was the first BTK inhibitor to be approved, and it changed the standard-of-care treatment for diseases such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia, mantle cell lymphoma, marginal zone lymphoma, and Waldenström macroglobulinemia, improving efficacy outcomes and safety compared to chemotherapy. In this article, we review the development of zanubrutinib, a next-generation BTK inhibitor, from molecular design to patient-related outcomes. We start this journey by providing insights into the discovery of BTK and the physiologic, genetic, and molecular characterization of patients lacking this kinase, together with the brief treatment landscape in the era of chemo-immunotherapies. Zanubrutinib was originally developed by applying a structureactivity strategy to enhance the specificity as well as enzymatic and pharmacokinetic properties. Preclinical studies confirmed greater specificity and better bioavailability of zanubrutinib compared with that of ibrutinib, which supported the initiation of clinical trials in humans. Preliminary clinical results indicated activity in B-cell malignancies together with an improved safety profile, in line with less off-target effects described in the preclinical studies. The clinical program of zanubrutinib has since expanded significantly, with ongoing studies in a wide range of hemato-oncological diseases and in combination with many other therapies. Zanubrutinib currently is approved for various B-cell malignancies in multiple countries. This story highlights the importance of multidisciplinary collaborative research, from bench to bedside, and provides an example of how the commitment to finding improved treatment options should always run parallel to patient care.

Blood Cancer Journal (2023) 13:141 ; https://doi.org[/10.1038/s41408-023-00902-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-023-00902-x)

INTRODUCTION

B-cell malignancies are the most frequent hematologic cancers and include a heterogeneous group of more than 40 malignancies caused by the uncontrolled proliferation of B-cells [[1\]](#page-9-0). Not only are they the hematologic cancer most frequently diagnosed globally, with 544,000 cases of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in 2020, but they are also associated with considerable morbidity, with 260,000 deaths reported worldwide in 2020 [[2\]](#page-9-0).

Diagnostic tools to identify and classify B-cell malignancies have improved the cytologic, molecular, and genetic understanding of each specific disease, thereby also permitting the development of improved therapies for each individual malignancy. In the past few decades, therapies for B-cell malignancies have evolved considerably. A brief overview of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is illustrative. Until the 1980s, cytotoxic agents, including chlorambucil and cyclophosphamide, were the only available therapeutic options. The development of the purine nucleoside analogue fludarabine in the 1990s and its use in various combinations helped enhance treatment outcomes (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Despite improvements in response, duration of remission, and progression-free survival (PFS), increases in overall survival (OS) were limited [\[3\]](#page-9-0). Furthermore, chemotherapy was associated with hematologic toxicity, secondary cancers such as myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukemia, and other adverse effects [\[4,](#page-9-0) [5\]](#page-9-0).

Better understanding of the cellular receptor pathways involved in malignant B-cells led to development of monoclonal antibodies targeting key surface antigens and receptors involved in the survival and proliferation of malignant cells, such as the anticluster of differentiation 20 (CD20) antibody rituximab [\[6\]](#page-9-0). Rituximab combined with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide (FCR) notably improved survival [[7](#page-9-0), [8](#page-9-0)], but this combination primarily was used in fit patients because it was too toxic (i.e., hematologic toxicity, risk of infections) for frail and/or older patients [[9](#page-9-0)]. Rituximab in combination with bendamustine (BR), although not as effective as FCR in younger patients [[10](#page-9-0)], is associated with fewer and less severe toxic effects and, thus, became the preferred regimen in frailer patients [[9](#page-9-0)]. Improvements in allogeneic stem cell transplantation offered a potentially curative option, but only for young patients [[5](#page-9-0), [11](#page-9-0)].

Interestingly, in recent years, the number of allogeneic stem cell transplantations performed in patients with CLL has decreased considerably. The development and the use of targeted therapies, including Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors, may have contributed to this reduction (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)) [\[12](#page-9-0)].

THE DISCOVERY OF BRUTON TYROSINE KINASE

The history of BTK started with the first diagnosis and description of a disease presenting with absence of mature B-cells and

Check for updates

¹Alfred Hospital and Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ²Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ, USA. ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne Hospital & University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁴Monash Health and Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ⁵These authors contributed equally: Constantine S. Tam, Javier L. Muñoz.
[⊠]email: constantine.tam@alfred.org.au

Received: 13 June 2023 Revised: 1 August 2023 Accepted: 14 August 2023

Fig. 1 Treatment evolution in chronic lymphocytic leukemia [[30,](#page-10-0) [95](#page-11-0), [96](#page-11-0)]. CAR chimeric antigen receptor, BTK Bruton tyrosine kinase, FCR fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab.

immunoglobulin G, and characterized by recurrent bacterial infections; this was X-linked agammaglobulinemia (XLA) described by Dr Ogden Bruton and named eponymously after him [[13](#page-9-0)]. Subsequent genetic characterization of XLA revealed that it was caused by the lack of expression of BTK, a tyrosine kinase of the Tec-family, due to mutations in a gene located on the X chromosome [[14,](#page-9-0) [15\]](#page-9-0). BTK is essential for maturation of pre–B cells and other processes related to B-cell physiology, as shown by characterization of B tk-null mice $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$ and by the study of more than 800 mutations in the BTK gene in patients with XLA [[17](#page-9-0)]. Extensive molecular and cellular analyses have confirmed the critical role of BTK in multiple hematopoietic signals, which go beyond the B-cell antigen receptor pathway, and initial inhibitory agents showed preliminary activity as antileukemic agents, setting up BTK as a potential target in B-cell malignancies [\[18](#page-9-0)].

Rationale for and development of ibrutinib, the firstgeneration Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor

Further understanding of the oncogenic dependencies of B-cell malignancies expanded the potential therapeutic targets. This opened the possibility of obtaining durable disease control with more narrowly targeted therapies, with an improved safety profile, enabling broader application to more patient subgroups [[19](#page-9-0)]. Several newer therapeutic targets—such as CD37, spleenassociated tyrosine kinase (Syk), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3Kδ), CD19, myeloid cell leukemia 1 (MCL1), and B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2) [[11\]](#page-9-0), receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 1 (ROR1)—have been studied in lymphoid cancers; however, targeting BTK has proven to be one of the most successful strategies for management of B-cell malignancies owing to broad efficacy across a range of diseases, safety, and dosing convenience of oral administration. BTK is an essential component of the B-cell receptor intracellular signaling pathway, mediating B-cell development, proliferation, and survival [[20](#page-10-0)]. Aberrant BTK signaling plays a critical role in the development of various B-cell malignancies including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [[21](#page-10-0)], CLL [\[22](#page-10-0)], mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) [[23,](#page-10-0) [24](#page-10-0)], Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) [\[25](#page-10-0), [26](#page-10-0)], and marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) [[27\]](#page-10-0).

The first-generation BTK inhibitor ibrutinib was initially synthesized in 2007 and described as an irreversible BTK inhibitor with potential therapeutic value in rheumatoid arthritis [\[28](#page-10-0)]. Clinical studies in CLL [[29](#page-10-0)–[34\]](#page-10-0), MCL [[35\]](#page-10-0), MZL [[27\]](#page-10-0), and WM [[36\]](#page-10-0) subsequently showed benefits in these patients. Approval of ibrutinib [[37\]](#page-10-0) by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2013 changed the treatment paradigm of various hematologic malignancies, and ibrutinib rapidly became the standard of care for treating patients with certain subtypes of non-Hodgkin lymphoma and CLL [\[38](#page-10-0), [39](#page-10-0)]. Not only did treatment standards change with the introduction of ibrutinib but also clinical endpoints needed to be redefined. For example, ibrutinib

causes an initial mobilization of CLL cells to the peripheral blood; this paradoxical cellular redistribution was initially mistaken for progressive disease, but the reduction in lymphadenopathy and improvement in cytopenias occurring in parallel suggests that these effects are manifestations of response to the treatment. Considering these unexpected effects of ibrutinib, isolated progressive lymphocytosis would not necessarily be considered a sign of disease progression unless there is other evidence of progressive disease [\[40](#page-10-0)].

Despite the considerable improvement in outcomes and quality of life in patients treated with ibrutinib, various adverse events hamper its use (i.e., atrial fibrillation and ventricular dysrhythmias, hypertension, bleeding, rash, and diarrhea). These adverse events lead to treatment discontinuation in up to 23% of patients in clinical studies and up to 49% of patients in community practices [[41\]](#page-10-0). Most of these adverse events are not observed in patients with XLA and congenital deficiency of BTK [\[13](#page-9-0)], and thus it was hypothesized that they may be related to off-target activity of the kinase inhibitor. Later studies [\[42](#page-10-0)] showed that ibrutinib binding to c-terminal Src kinase may be related to atrial fibrillation [[43](#page-10-0)], inhibition of Tec-family kinases may be related to bleeding events [[44\]](#page-10-0), and inhibition of the epidermal growth factor receptor may be related to rash and diarrhea [[45](#page-10-0)]. Moreover, comparison of changes in biomarkers among healthy patients, patients with XLA, and patients with CLL treated with ibrutinib revealed an increase in 6 biomarkers related to atrial fibrillation in a B-cell–independent manner in patients treated with ibrutinib, but not in those with XLA [\[46\]](#page-10-0). This evidence suggests that the broad kinome profile and off-target inhibition of ibrutinib may be related to many of these adverse events [[42](#page-10-0)].

After the initial enthusiasm of ibrutinib, additional preclinical studies and long-term clinical results provided evidence for certain aspects that could be improved. Adverse events related to offtarget inhibition, primary and secondary resistances, and longterm administration [[47](#page-10-0)] highlighted the need to develop agents that could build upon the successful outcomes of ibrutinib. Development of various second-generation BTK inhibitors (e.g., acalabrutinib and zanubrutinib) was initiated to overcome the limitations of ibrutinib.

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEXT-GENERATION BRUTON TYROSINE KINASE, ZANUBRUTINIB

The BTK development program at BeiGene (San Mateo, California, USA; and Shanghai, China) began in 2012, with the multidisciplinary collaboration between the medical, biochemistry, discovery biology, and in vivo pharmacology departments at BeiGene in China. This team screened more than 3000 compounds in 2013 to find the molecule with the highest therapeutic potential: BGB-3111 (the 3111th compound screened), later named zanubrutinib [[48\]](#page-10-0). The chemical design of zanubrutinib

Fig. 2 Number of allogeneic transplantations performed in adult patients with CLL in the US during 2000 to 2020. Reductions in the number of transplantations in patients with CLL can be observed from 2013 [\[12](#page-9-0)]. CLL chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

was guided by a structure-activity strategy to enhance specificity for BTK, minimize off-target binding and associated toxicities, and improve pharmacokinetic properties [\[48\]](#page-10-0). Zanubrutinib showed greater selectivity versus other kinases during profile assessment of 370 kinases (Fig. 3) [[49\]](#page-10-0), as well as potent inhibitory activity against BTK; zanubrutinib demonstrated more than 50% inhibition in seven kinases, whereas ibrutinib demonstrated more than 50% inhibition in 17 kinases other than BTK (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Ibrutinib has active metabolites with twofold higher systemic exposure than the parent molecule. Although 1 of these active metabolites (PCI-45227) is 15-fold less potent against BTK compared with the ibrutinib parent molecule, the metabolite still has some activity for kinases other than BTK, which may contribute to off-target toxicities. In contrast, despite zanubrutinib undergoing extensive metabolism (primarily via a cytochrome P450, family 3, subfamily A [CYP3A]-mediated pathway), no active metabolites were detected in the circulation [[50\]](#page-10-0). The most abundant metabolite in the plasma is the inactive mono-hydroxylate of the phenoxy phenyl ring (BGB-7941), which represents less than 10% of the total drug concentration in the circulation and is not considered to contribute significantly to the effects of zanubrutinib [[50,](#page-10-0) [51\]](#page-10-0).

Zanubrutinib achieved 100% peripheral blood BTK blockade at a dose of 40 mg daily, and the clinical dose was optimized to achieve 94% and 100% BTK occupancies in lymph nodes, as proven by biopsy results, at the approved doses of 160 mg twice daily (BID) or 320 mg once daily (QD), respectively [\[52](#page-10-0), [53\]](#page-10-0). In comparison, ibrutinib showed more than 90% blood BTK occupancy at the approved dose of 420 mg QD; however, in some patients BTK occupancy in peripheral blood mononuclear cells fell below 80% (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)), and systematic evaluation of deep tissue BTK blockade was not performed on the dose-finding studies of ibrutinib [[54,](#page-10-0) [55\]](#page-10-0). While high levels of peripheral blood BTK occupancy are seen with several agents, zanubrutinib's high plasma levels may enable penetration into lymph nodes and other niches (i.e., bone marrow)

Targets with more than 50% inhibition are highlighted in red (RBC kinase panel, 1 μM ATP).

ABL2 Abelson tyrosine-protein Kinase, ARG ABL-related gene, ATP adenosine triphosphate, AXL anexelekto, BLK B lymphocyte kinase, BMPR2 bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 2, BMX bone marrow X kinase, BRK breast tumor kinase, BTK Bruton tyrosine kinase, c-Src cellular sarcoma virus transforming gene kinase, CSK C-terminal Src kinase, EGFR epidermal growth factor receptor, ERBB4 Erb-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 4, ERN1/IRE1 endoribonuclease inositol-requiring enzyme 1, ETK epithelial and endothelial tyrosine kinase, FGR fetal growth restriction, FLT3 fms-like tyrosine kinase 3, FRK Fyn-related kinase, HCK hematopoietic cell kinase, HER2 human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, HER4 human epidermal growth factor receptor 4, IC₅₀ 50% inhibitory concentration, ITK interleukin-2-inducible T-cell kinase, JAK3 Janus kinase 3, LBK lymphocyte-specific protein tyrosine kinase, LCK lymphocyte-specific protein tyrosine kinase, LYN lck/Yes-related novel protein tyrosine kinase, MEKK1 mitogen-activated protein kinase 1, MKNK2 MAPK interacting serine/threonine kinase-2, MSK2 mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase-2, PRKCD protein kinase C delta, PTK5 protein tyrosine kinase 5, RBC red blood cell, RPS6KA4 ribosomal protein S6 kinase, SRMS Src-related kinase lacking C-terminal regulatory tyrosine and N-terminal myristoylation sites, STK33 serine/threonine kinase 33, TEC thymic epithelial cells, TXK TXK tyrosine kinase, WNK1 with-no-lysine protein kinase, YES Y73 and Esh sarcoma kinase.

which could account for the improved efficacy of zanubrutinib over ibrutinib in randomized phase 3 studies in CLL and WM. Another key attribute resulting from structural differences between zanubrutinib and ibrutinib is the higher bioavailability of zanubrutinib and its ability to achieve sustained therapeutic exposure, which may directly affect efficacy. At the approved dose, ibrutinib concentration decreases below the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) level at 6 h after dose administration, whereas zanubrutinib concentration remains above the IC_{50} level at all times with both approved doses. In addition, the area-under-the-curve of zanubrutinib is approximately eight times higher than that of ibrutinib at a dose of 560 mg QD (Fig. [5\)](#page-4-0) [[50,](#page-10-0) [52,](#page-10-0) [56](#page-10-0)]. The steadystate exposures of zanubrutinib enable deep and durable BTK inhibition in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and lymph nodes, including any newly synthesized BTK molecules [\[52](#page-10-0)].

Zanubrutinib has a considerably improved drug-drug interaction profile compared with ibrutinib. Drug-drug interaction studies showed that zanubrutinib, in contrast to ibrutinib, could be administered with CYP3A inhibitors by reducing the dose to 80 mg QD with strong inhibitors, and 80 mg BID with moderate

Fig. 4 Zanubrutinib BTK occupancy in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and in lymph nodes by dose regimens relative to those in ibrutinib [[52](#page-10-0), [54,](#page-10-0) [97](#page-11-0)]. ^aThe clinical significance of having high BTK occupancy in lymph nodes is unknown. BTK Bruton tyrosine kinase, D day, PBMC peripheral blood mononuclear cell, QD once daily, W week.

Fig. 5 Concentration-time profiles. Values are shown for A ibrutinib and B zanubrutinib [\[50,](#page-10-0) [52,](#page-10-0) [56](#page-10-0)]. BID twice daily, BTK Bruton tyrosine kinase, C_{trough} predose trough concentration, IC_{50} half-maximal inhibitory concentration, QD once daily.

inhibitors; no dose reduction was needed for coadministration of mild CYP3A inhibitors [\[57](#page-10-0), [58\]](#page-10-0). These improvements in pharmacokinetic properties allowed use in a broader spectrum of patients, and having the option of BID and QD dosing schedules and pills of 80 mg allow for a more convenient administration. Because extrinsic factors do not affect the bioavailability, zanubrutinib can be administered with or without food [[57\]](#page-10-0). The improved drug-drug interaction profile allows zanubrutinib administration concomitantly with proton pump inhibitors, direct oral anticoagulants, warfarin, and other medications relevant for treating B-cell malignancies, if clinically desirable to do so. Patients 65 years and older with CLL frequently are under treatment with anticoagulants, which increases the risk of bleeding. The fact that zanubrutinib can be safely administered concomitantly with anticoagulants without prohibitive risk of bleeding is important for patient management [[59\]](#page-10-0). In addition, the pharmacokinetic profile of zanubrutinib is not directly affected by patient characteristics such as ethnicity [\[60\]](#page-10-0), or concomitant hepatic or renal impairment. Patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment do not require dose modifications; in patients with severe hepatic impairment, the dose is reduced to 80 mg BID. For patients with renal impairment, no dose modifications are required [\[57](#page-10-0), [58](#page-10-0)].

Clinical development of zanubrutinib

Not long after the preclinical characterization of zanubrutinib, a decision was made for clinical development of the drug in Australia owing to the country's favorable regulatory environment and rapid clinical research start-up capability. On July 15, 2013, a meeting was held in Melbourne, Australia, with professors Constantine Tam, Andrew Roberts, John Seymour, Andrew Grigg, and Stephen Opat. This Australian meeting brought together researchers with experience in BTK inhibitors and institutions with the capacity to conduct phase 1, first-in-human studies. After review of the preclinical data, a trial design, sketched on a napkin, rapidly evolved into the formal study protocol, and 6 months later, on August 25, 2014, the first patient received a dose of zanubrutinib.

This phase 1 study (NCT02343120) included 17 patients with B-cell malignancies in the dose-escalation part and 94 patients with CLL/small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL) in the cohort-expansion part. The preliminary results from this study were presented at the 2015 American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting and highlighted the potent efficacy, improved pharmacokinetic properties, and promising tolerability even at higher doses of zanubrutinib [\[53](#page-10-0), [61](#page-10-0)]. Prompted by these early data, a comprehensive development program for zanubrutinib was organized.

The first clues to zanubrutinib being a potentially superior drug to ibrutinib came from the observation of unexpectedly high very good partial response (VGPR) rates in patients with WM in the phase 1 study. Additionally, patients who had sequential intrapatient escalation of zanubrutinib above the 80 mg daily dose (equivalent to ibrutinib 560 mg) showed progressive improvement in their immunoglobulin M response, which suggested that the level of BTK inhibition could be further optimized in WM. Furthermore, the early investigators found that the rate of atrial fibrillation appeared to be lower than anticipated for the population treated.

THE PRESENT: APPROVED INDICATIONS AND CURRENT **STATUS**

Since 2019, there has been a continuous flow of study readouts, publication of positive results, presentations in major congresses, 5

Fig. 6 Key milestones in the development of zanubrutinib. BTK Bruton tyrosine kinase, CLL chronic lymphocytic leukemia, EC European Commission, EU European Union, IA interim analysis, MCL mantle cell lymphoma, NDA new drug application, PFS progression-free survival, SLL small lymphocytic lymphoma, US United States.

and approvals relating to zanubrutinib. As of May 2023, zanubrutinib has been approved in multiple indications in more than 60 countries and regions. Zanubrutinib was initially approved in 2019 in the United States for patients with previously treated MCL, followed by approvals in China in 2020, and 21 additional approvals in 2021 (Fig. 6) [\[57](#page-10-0)].

Mantle cell lymphoma

In November 2019, the FDA granted zanubrutinib accelerated approval for the treatment of patients with MCL who have received at least 1 prior therapy, based on the results from the BGB-3111-AU-003 (NCT02343120) and the BGB-3111-206 (NCT03206970) studies. The former was the first-in-human, doseescalation study of zanubrutinib in various B-cell malignancies, including 37 patients with relapsed or refractory (R/R) MCL and 11 patients with treatment-naive (TN) MCL. Patients with R/R MCL had an overall response rate (ORR) of 87% with a complete response (CR) rate of 30% at a median follow-up of 19.4 months; the median PFS was 17.3 months. In this same study, patients with TN MCL had an ORR of 82%, with 27% of patients achieving CR [[62,](#page-10-0) [63](#page-10-0)]. The latter study was conducted in China and evaluated zanubrutinib in 86 patients with R/R MCL, with a resultant ORR of 83.7% and 77.9% of patients achieving CR. Median PFS was 33 months, and median OS was not reached after 35.3 months of follow-up [\[64](#page-11-0), [65\]](#page-11-0). A pooled safety analysis of both studies reported low rates of atrial fibrillation (1.8%; 0.9% grade ≥3) and a 12.5% discontinuation rate due to adverse events [\[66](#page-11-0)].

Acalabrutinib was approved in October 2017 for patients with R/R MCL [\[67\]](#page-11-0) based on the results of 124 patients included in the single-arm ACE-LY-004 study (NCT02213926) [\[68\]](#page-11-0). The ORR and CR rate were 81% and 40%, respectively, after a median follow-up of 15.2 months, and the estimated 12-month PFS was 67%. The most frequent grade ≥3 adverse events were neutropenia, anemia, and pneumonia; no cases of atrial fibrillation were reported. Discontinuation rate due to adverse events was 7% [[68](#page-11-0)].

Waldenström macroglobulinemia

Ibrutinib has proven to be beneficial for patients with WM, but ibrutinib-related adverse events and reduced efficacy in patients with CXCR4 mutations limit its use for that subset [\[69\]](#page-11-0). Preclinical and early-phase results of zanubrutinib gave researchers the

confidence to run 2 head-to-head phase 3 studies against ibrutinib. One of them, the ASPEN study (NCT03053440) in patients with WM, formed the basis for the FDA approval on August 31, 2021, of zanubrutinib in this indication. Patients with the mutation of MYD88 L265P were randomized to zanubrutinib $(n = 101)$ or ibrutinib $(n = 98)$ in cohort 1, and patients with MYD88 wild-type WM ($N = 28$) received zanubrutinib in a nonrandomized arm (cohort 2). In cohort 1, after 44.4 months of median follow‑up, aggregated CR and VGPR rates were 36.3% versus 25.3% for zanubrutinib and ibrutinib, respectively; although not statistically significantly different, hazard ratio estimates favored zanubrutinib in cohort 1 (PFS: HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.36–1.12) [\[70\]](#page-11-0). These results should be analyzed in the context of the stratification methodology used for CXCR4 mutations, which underreported the number of patients with CXCR4 WHIM mutations. When using a more sensitive next-generation sequencing assay, an imbalance favoring ibrutinib (with 22% of patients with CXCR4 WHIM mutations vs 33% in the zanubrutinib group) was observed. This impacted the comparison of responses between the 2 groups because CXCR4 WHIM mutations are associated with lower VGPR rates. Median PFS and OS have not been reached. PFS rates at 42 months were 78.3% for zanubrutinib and 69.7% for ibrutinib. In cohort 2, patients with MYD88 wild-type WM had a 65% response rate, including 1 CR [[70](#page-11-0)].

ASPEN was the first head-to-head comparison of 2 BTK inhibitors to be reported and gave a unique opportunity to examine the different toxicities of first- and second- generation BTK inhibitors. In this comparison, zanubrutinib was associated with fewer adverse events leading to dose reductions, treatment discontinuations, and deaths, compared to ibrutinib. In addition, atrial fibrillation and bleeding rates were lower in the zanubrutinib arm at all time intervals compared to that of ibrutinib, and hypertension rates trended lower over time ($P = 0.16$). Even though neutropenia was more frequent in the zanubrutinib group, the rate of infections was similar (any grade) or higher (grade ≥ 3) in the ibrutinib group [[70\]](#page-11-0). Another earlier study of patients with WM was BGB-3111-AU-003, reporting ORR and $CR + VGPR$ rates of 93.9% and 51%, respectively, a 24-month PFS rate of 76.2%, and a 24-month OS of 91.5% in 49 patients with R/R WM. Among the 24 patients with TN WM, all had a response and 33.3% achieved $CR + VGPR$, and the 24-month PFS and OS rates were 91.5% and

100%, respectively [[71\]](#page-11-0). Finally, the phase 2 trial BGB-3111-210 (NCT03332173) included 44 high-risk patients with R/R WM treated with zanubrutinib. The study reported a 33% $CR + VGPR$ rate and a 24-month PFS of 61%, with most grade ≥3 adverse events being hematologic with no reports of atrial fibrillation or flutter. These results were consistent across patient subgroups including patients with MYD88 L265P and/or mutation of CXCR4 WHIM [[72](#page-11-0)].

Marginal zone lymphoma

Zanubrutinib received accelerated approval from the FDA on September 14, 2021, for patients with R/R MZL who have received at least 1 anti-CD20–based regimen. The MAGNOLIA study (NCT03846427), a single-arm phase 2 study, showed an ORR of 74% with a 24% CR rate at a median 10.7 months of follow-up. The 2-year survival rate was 86% in patients with MZL, and responses were observed in all MZL subtypes and in difficult-to-treat disease subgroups. One of 68 patients had grade ≥3 atrial flutter, and 2 patients discontinued zanubrutinib due to adverse events [[73\]](#page-11-0).

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma

On January 19, 2023, zanubrutinib received FDA approval in CLL/ SLL based on the second head-to-head study versus ibrutinib (ALPINE) and the SEQUOIA study. The ALPINE study (NCT03734016), which included patients with R/R CLL/SLL who were randomized to zanubrutinib ($n = 327$) or ibrutinib ($n = 325$), demonstrated superiority of zanubrutinib over ibrutinib in ORR and PFS. The ORR (CR, nodular partial response, or partial response) was significantly ($P = 0.0133$) higher with zanubrutinib (80.4%) versus ibrutinib (72.9%), and the PFS was significantly $(P = 0.002)$ longer with zanubrutinib versus ibrutinib, with a hazard ratio of 0.65 (95% CI 0.49–0.86). This difference was consistent across patient subgroups, including patients with deletion of the 11q22.3 chromosomal region, or 17p deletion/ mutation of tumor-protein p53 [[74\]](#page-11-0). In the high-risk population with del(17p13.1)/TP53 mutation, the superior PFS benefit with zanubrutinib remained, with a hazard ratio of disease progression or death of 0.53 (95% CI 0.31-0.88) by investigator assessment [\[74\]](#page-11-0). Zanubrutinib safety/tolerability profile was also improved over ibrutinib with fewer adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation and fewer cardiac events, including fewer cardiac events leading to discontinuation or death.

Acalabrutinib is the only other second-generation BTK inhibitor to be compared directly with ibrutinib in a clinical study. The ELEVATE-RR study (NCT02477696) was a noninferiority study of acalabrutinib versus ibrutinib in patients with previously treated CLL who had del(17p13.1) and/or del(11q22.3). In this study, acalabrutinib met its primary endpoint of noninferiority with a median PFS of 38.4 months in both arms (HR 1.0; 95% CI 0.79-1.27) [[75\]](#page-11-0). Although cross-trial comparison is difficult owing to various factors (e.g., different patient populations) and interpretation should be made with caution, it should be noted that unlike zanubrutinib, which was observed to have improved benefits over ibrutinib in the high-risk del(17p13.1)/TP53 mutation subgroup, this was not seen with acalabrutinib.

In the SEQUOIA study (NCT03336333) of zanubrutinib versus BR in patients with TN CLL/SLL, patients without del(17p13.1) were randomly assigned to zanubrutinib ($n = 241$) or BR ($n = 238$); those with del(17p13.1) CLL/SLL were assigned to zanubrutinib in a different arm ($n = 111$). The ORR was 94.6% and 85.3% in the zanubrutinib and BR arms, respectively, including 7% and 15% of patients who achieved CR. Patients treated with zanubrutinib showed improved PFS versus those treated with BR (HR 0.42; 95% CI 0.28–0.63; $P < 0.0001$), and PFS was consistently longer with zanubrutinib in most subgroups such as older patients, patients with high-risk disease, patients with Binet stage C disease, bulky disease, and presence of unmutated IGHV, or del(11q22.3). Among patients with del(17p13.1) CLL/SLL, 24-month PFS and 24-month OS rates were 89% and 93.6%, respectively. Treatment discontinuations, dose reductions, and adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation were less frequent in the zanubrutinib arm [\[76\]](#page-11-0). With the longer follow-up in SEQUOIA, the estimated 42 month PFS rates were 82% for the zanubrutinib arm and 50% for the BR arm, and the 42-month OS rates were 89% and 88%, respectively. The tolerability profile of zanubrutinib remained acceptable, including low rates of atrial fibrillation [[77](#page-11-0)].

In the ELEVATE-TN study (NCT02475681), the clinical effects of acalabrutinib, with or without obinutuzumab, were compared against chlorambucil with obinutuzumab alone in patients with TN CLL [[78](#page-11-0)]. Acalabrutinib, as a single agent or in combination with obinutuzumab, showed improved PFS over obinutuzumabchlorambucil chemoimmunotherapy. The side-effect profile was acceptable and consistent with those of earlier results and other second-generation BTK inhibitors.

THE FUTURE: ONGOING RESEARCH WITH ZANUBRUTINIB

As of May 2023, zanubrutinib has been studied in a broad global clinical development program in more than 3900 patients in 35 clinical studies across 28 countries, and these numbers keep growing (Table [2](#page-7-0)).

Because of its lower toxicity profile, zanubrutinib is also being studied in an exploratory phase 2 study (NCT04116437) in patients with B-cell malignancies who have been treated and are intolerant to ibrutinib or acalabrutinib. This study included 67 patients with B-cell malignancies who became intolerant to ibrutinib, acalabrutinib, or both. Most ibrutinib- or acalabrutinibrelated toxicities did not recur or recurred at a lower severity with zanubrutinib. In addition, disease control was maintained by 94% of patients. The results of this study highlight the safety and efficacy of zanubrutinib in this group of patients with otherwise limited treatment options and potentially extend the opportunity for clinical benefit within the drug class of covalent BTK inhibitors [[49](#page-10-0)].

To further evaluate therapy outcomes and benefit a greater number of patients, additional studies were designed. Ongoing studies include a phase 3 study (NCT04002297) of newly diagnosed patients with MCL (zanubrutinib $+$ rituximab vs BR), the phase 2 study CHESS (NCT04624958) in patients with previously untreated MCL (zanubrutinib $+$ rituximab vs rituximab, dexamethasone, cytarabine and oxaliplatin), and the phase 3 study MAHOGANY (NCT05100862) in patients with R/R MZL (zanubrutinib + rituximab vs lenalidomide + rituximab). The MAHOGANY study also includes patients with follicular lymphoma and will be the phase 3 confirmatory study for this indication. The phase 2 study ROSEWOOD (NCT03332017) tested the zanubrutinib $+$ obinutuzumab combination versus obinutuzumab monotherapy in patients with R/R follicular lymphoma. Results of the ROSEWOOD study with a median follow-up of 20.2 months showed that median PFS was 28 months for the combination and 10.4 months for obinutuzumab monotherapy, with an HR of 0.50 (95% CI 0.33-0.75); $P = 0.0007$ [\[79\]](#page-11-0).

Accumulation of data from patients treated with zanubrutinib has provided robust insights on its overall safety and tolerability profile. Zanubrutinib typically is well tolerated, with generally mild-to-moderate adverse events that are usually manageable and not associated with frequent treatment discontinuations. Pooled data from 10 clinical trials in B-cell malignancies, including 1550 patients treated with zanubrutinib, showed low treatment discontinuation rates due to adverse events [[80](#page-11-0), [81\]](#page-11-0). The prevalence of adverse events of special interest such as infections, hemorrhage, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, hypertension, anemia, secondary malignancies, and atrial fibrillation/flutter tend to remain constant or decrease over time [[81\]](#page-11-0). In addition, zanubrutinib appears to be generally associated with fewer

8

AE adverse event, B bendamustine, CLL chronic lymphocytic leukemia, CR complete response, DLBCL diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, FL follicular lymphoma, Ibr ibrutinib, Len lenalidomide, MCL mantle cell lymphoma, mFU median follow-up, mo month, mPFS median progression-free survival, MZL marginal zone lymphoma, NR not reached, Obi obinutuzumab, ORR overall response rate, PFS progression-free survival, AE adverse event, 8 bendamustine, CLL chronic lymphocytic leukemia, CR complete response, DLBCL diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, FL follicular lymphoma, I/br ibrutinib, Len lenalidomide, MCL mantle cell
lymphoma, *mFU* media rituximab, R-DHAOx rituximab, dexamethasone, cytarabine, and oxaliplatin, R/R relapsed/refractory, SLL small lymphocytic lymphoma, T tislelizumab, TN treatment naive, VGPR very good partial response, vs versus, WM Waldenström macroglobulinemia, Zanu zanubrutinib. ^aIntolerant to ibrutinib or acalabrutinib.

C.S. Tam et al.

cardiovascular adverse events compared with ibrutinib. Based on pooled data from the ASPEN and ALPINE studies, the exposureadjusted incidence rate of cardiovascular adverse events was significantly lower for zanubrutinib compared to ibrutinib, including atrial fibrillation ($P < 0.0001$), and symptomatic ventricular arrhythmias ($P = 0.0028$) [\[82](#page-11-0)].

The promising safety profile of zanubrutinib allows for the exploration of new combinations with agents that may provide synergistic effects. New studies are ongoing of zanubrutinib in combination with other targeted therapies, including BCL-2 inhibitors, PI3K inhibitors, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy, and checkpoint inhibitors. The phase 2 ZANU-VEN study (NCT05168930) is assessing the zanubrutinib $+$ venetoclax combination in CLL; the zanubrutinib $+$ BGB-10188 combination is being tested in B-cell malignancies in a phase 1/2 study (NCT04282018); and the triplet combination of zanubrutinib $+$ venetoclax $+$ obinutuzumab is being studied in patients with CLL in a phase 2 study (BoVEN: NCT03824483). This study reported deep molecular responses with a median follow-up of 40 months, with 96% and 92% of patients achieving negative minimal residual disease in peripheral blood and bone marrow, respectively, and good tolerability. Patients with negative minimal residual disease by flow cytometry (MRD-FC) had a MRD-FC free survival of 29.8 months [[83\]](#page-11-0).

Some evidence suggests that the combination of BTK inhibitors with CAR T-cell therapies may increase CAR T-cell expansion, viability, and engraftment during the manufacturing process, and enhance CAR T-cell activation and effector function [\[84](#page-11-0)–[87\]](#page-11-0). An ongoing phase 3 clinical trial (NCT05020392) in China is assessing the efficacy and safety of anti-CD19 CAR T-cell therapy with concurrent BTK inhibitor (ibrutinib, zanubrutinib, or orelabrutinib) in patients with R/R B-cell malignancies, with expected results by the end of 2023. Results published highlight the clinically significant relevance of zanubrutinib in the treatment armamentarium of B-cell malignancies. Confidence in the benefits of zanubrutinib is exemplified by its inclusion in international treatment guidelines for CLL and non-Hodgkin lymphoma [[88,](#page-11-0) [89](#page-11-0)].

Despite the benefits of BTK inhibitors in the treatment of B-cell malignancies, some unmet needs require further research. Continuous use of BTK inhibitors may lead to the acquisition of mutations in the BTK binding site (cysteine 481) or in other components in the signaling pathway (such as PLCG2). New noncovalent BTK inhibitors that do not depend on cysteine 481 (e.g., pirtobrutinib) are under development, with the hope of overcoming resistance mechanisms [\[90](#page-11-0)]. Enrichment in mutations that may confer resistance have been reported after treatment with specific BTK inhibitors. For example, the mutation leucine 528 substitution to tryptophan has been detected mainly in patients treated with zanubrutinib but not ibrutinib. Moreover, this mutation has shown cross resistance with pirtobrutinib [[91](#page-11-0)]. These results highlight the importance to further investigate resistance mechanisms and the impact on different treatment choices. Other strategies targeting BTK include specific protein degraders, including NX-2127 which has been shown to degrade BTK independently of C481 mutations [\[92](#page-11-0), [93](#page-11-0)]. These new strategies may help reduce resistance mutations and provide therapeutic alternatives upon disease progression in patients treated with covalent BTK inhibitors in earlier lines of treatment.

Moreover, AbbVie recently announced the intention to withdraw ibrutinib from the United States market for R/R MCL and R/R MZL based on results of phase 3 confirmatory studies, necessitat-ing alternative therapies for these patients [[94](#page-11-0)].

In conclusion, this review of the history of zanubrutinib highlights the importance of multidisciplinary collaborative research, from early chemical research to clinical studies, and provides an example of how progress is incremental. Despite remarkable efficacy demonstrated with first-generation

DATA AVAILABILITY

This article file has no independent data.

REFERENCES

- 1. Thandra KC, Barsouk A, Saginala K, Padala SA, Barsouk A, Rawla P. Epidemiology of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Med Sci. 2021;9:5. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9010005) [medsci9010005](https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9010005).
- 2. Mafra A, Laversanne M, Gospodarowicz M, Klinger P, De Paula Silva N, Pineros M, et al. Global patterns of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in 2020. Int J Cancer. 2022;151:1474–81. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34163.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34163)
- 3. Rai KR, Peterson BL, Appelbaum FR, Kolitz J, Elias L, Shepherd L, et al. Fludarabine compared with chlorambucil as primary therapy for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:1750–7.
- 4. Bhatt V, Alejandro L, Michael A, Ganetsky A. The promising impact of ibrutinib, a Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, for the management of lymphoid malignancies. Pharmacotherapy. 2014;34:303–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.1366>.
- 5. Brenner H, Gondos A, Pulte D. Trends in long-term survival of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia from the 1980s to the early 21st century. Blood. 2008;111:4916–21. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-12-129379>.
- 6. Maloney DG, Grillo-Lopez AJ, Bodkin DJ, White CA, Liles TM, Royston I, et al. IDEC-C2B8: results of a phase I multiple-dose trial in patients with relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 1997;15:3266–74. [https://doi.org/10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1997.15.10.3266) [JCO.1997.15.10.3266](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1997.15.10.3266).
- 7. Hallek M, Fischer K, Fingerle-Rowson G, Fink AM, Busch R, Mayer J, et al. Addition of rituximab to fludarabine and cyclophosphamide in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2010;376:1164–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61381-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61381-5)
- 8. Robak T, Dmoszynska A, Solal-Celigny P, Warzocha K, Loscertales J, Catalano J, et al. Rituximab plus fludarabine and cyclophosphamide prolongs progressionfree survival compared with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide alone in previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:1756–65. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.26.4556>.
- 9. Wierda WG, Zelenetz AD, Gordon LI, Abramson JS, Advani RH, Andreadis CB, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma, version 1.2017. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2017;15:293–311. [https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2017.0030.](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2017.0030)
- 10. Eichhorst B, Fink AM, Bahlo J, Busch R, Kovacs G, Maurer C, et al. First-line chemoimmunotherapy with bendamustine and rituximab versus fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab in patients with advanced chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL10): an international, open-label, randomised, phase 3, noninferiority trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:928–42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30051-1) [2045\(16\)30051-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30051-1)
- 11. Yosifov DY, Wolf C, Stilgenbauer S, Mertens D. From biology to therapy: the CLL success story. Hemasphere. 2019;3:e175. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000175) [HS9.0000000000000175](https://doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000175).
- 12. Auletta JJKJ, Chen M, Shaw BE. Current use and outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: CIBMTR US summary slides. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2021;26:e177–82.
- 13. Bruton OC. Agammaglobulinemia. Pediatrics. 1952;9:722–8.
- 14. Tsukada S, Saffran DC, Rawlings DJ, Parolini O, Allen RC, Klisak I, et al. Deficient expression of a B cell cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase in human X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Cell. 1993;72:279–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(93\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90667-f) [90667-f.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90667-f)
- 15. Vetrie D, Vorechovsky I, Sideras P, Holland J, Davies A, Flinter F, et al. The gene involved in X-linked agammaglobulinaemia is a member of the src family of protein-tyrosine kinases. Nature. 1993;361:226–33. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/361226a0) [361226a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/361226a0).
- 16. Scher I. The CBA/N mouse strain: an experimental model illustrating the influence of the X-chromosome on immunity. Adv Immunol. 1982;33:1-71. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2776(08)60834-2) [doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2776\(08\)60834-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2776(08)60834-2)
- 17. Khan WN. Colonel Bruton's kinase defined the molecular basis of X-linked agammaglobulinemia, the first primary immunodeficiency. J Immunol. 2012;188:2933–5. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1200490.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1200490)
- 18. Uckun FM, Tibbles HE, Vassilev AO. Bruton's tyrosine kinase as a new therapeutic target. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2007;7:624–32. [https://doi.org/10.2174/](https://doi.org/10.2174/187152007784111331) [187152007784111331](https://doi.org/10.2174/187152007784111331).
- 19. Gianfelici V, Levato L, Molica S. The evolution of targeted therapies in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Curr Hematol Malig Rep. 2020;15:343–9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11899-020-00586-1) [10.1007/s11899-020-00586-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11899-020-00586-1)

 $\overline{10}$

- 20. Fowler N, Davis E. Targeting B-cell receptor signaling: changing the paradigm. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2013;2013:553–60. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/asheducation-2013.1.553) [10.1182/asheducation-2013.1.553.](https://doi.org/10.1182/asheducation-2013.1.553)
- 21. Young RM, Phelan JD, Wilson WH, Staudt LM. Pathogenic B-cell receptor signaling in lymphoid malignancies: New insights to improve treatment. Immunol Rev. 2019;291:190–213. [https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12792.](https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12792)
- 22. Woyach JA, Johnson AJ, Byrd JC. The B-cell receptor signaling pathway as a therapeutic target in CLL. Blood. 2012;120:1175–84. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-02-362624) [blood-2012-02-362624.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-02-362624)
- 23. Cinar M, Hamedani F, Mo Z, Cinar B, Amin HM, Alkan S. Bruton tyrosine kinase is commonly overexpressed in mantle cell lymphoma and its attenuation by Ibrutinib induces apoptosis. Leuk Res. 2013;37:1271–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2013.07.028) [j.leukres.2013.07.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2013.07.028).
- 24. Saba NS, Liu D, Herman SE, Underbayev C, Tian X, Behrend D, et al. Pathogenic role of B-cell receptor signaling and canonical NF-kappaB activation in mantle cell lymphoma. Blood. 2016;128:82–92. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-11-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-11-681460) [681460.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-11-681460)
- 25. Castillo JJ, Buske C, Trotman J, Sarosiek S, Treon SP. Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the management of Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Am J Hematol. 2023;98:338–47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26788>.
- 26. Treon SP, Cao Y, Xu L, Yang G, Liu X, Hunter ZR. Somatic mutations in MYD88 and CXCR4 are determinants of clinical presentation and overall survival in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Blood. 2014;123:2791–6. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-01-550905) [10.1182/blood-2014-01-550905.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-01-550905)
- 27. Noy A, de Vos S, Thieblemont C, Martin P, Flowers CR, Morschhauser F, et al. Targeting Bruton tyrosine kinase with ibrutinib in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma. Blood. 2017;129:2224–32. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-10-747345) [10-747345.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-10-747345)
- 28. Pan Z, Scheerens H, Li SJ, Schultz BE, Sprengeler PA, Burrill LC, et al. Discovery of selective irreversible inhibitors for Bruton's tyrosine kinase. ChemMedChem. 2007;2:58–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.200600221>.
- 29. Barr PM, Owen C, Robak T, Tedeschi A, Bairey O, Burger JA, et al. Up to 8-year follow-up from RESONATE-2: first-line ibrutinib treatment for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood Adv. 2022;6:3440–50. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006434) [10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006434.](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006434)
- 30. Burger JA, Tedeschi A, Barr PM, Robak T, Owen C, Ghia P, et al. Ibrutinib as initial therapy for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:2425–37. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1509388>.
- 31. Byrd JC, Brown JR, O'Brien S, Barrientos JC, Kay NE, Reddy NM, et al. Ibrutinib versus ofatumumab in previously treated chronic lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:213–23. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1400376>.
- 32. Chanan-Khan A, Cramer P, Demirkan F, Fraser G, Silva RS, Grosicki S, et al. Ibrutinib combined with bendamustine and rituximab compared with placebo, bendamustine, and rituximab for previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia or small lymphocytic lymphoma (HELIOS): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17:200–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00465-9) [2045\(15\)00465-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00465-9).
- 33. Shanafelt TD, Wang XV, Kay NE, Hanson CA, O'Brien S, Barrientos J, et al. Ibrutinib-rituximab or chemoimmunotherapy for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:432–43. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1817073>.
- 34. Woyach JA, Ruppert AS, Heerema NA, Zhao W, Booth AM, Ding W, et al. Ibrutinib regimens versus chemoimmunotherapy in older patients with untreated CLL. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:2517–28.
- 35. Wang ML, Rule S, Martin P, Goy A, Auer R, Kahl BS, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:507–16. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1306220>.
- 36. Dimopoulos MA, Tedeschi A, Trotman J, Garcia-Sanz R, Macdonald D, Leblond V, et al. Phase 3 trial of ibrutinib plus rituximab in Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:2399–410.[https://doi.org/10.1056/](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1802917) [NEJMoa1802917.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1802917)
- 37. Imbruvica (ibrutinib) [package insert]. Sunnyvale, USA. Janssen Biotech, Inc, and Pharmacyclics, LLC; 2020.
- 38. Zelenetz AD, Gordon LI, Wierda WG, Abramson JS, Advani RH, Andreadis CB, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma, version 1.2015. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2015;13:326–62. [https://doi.org/10.6004/](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2015.0045) [jnccn.2015.0045](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2015.0045).
- 39. Zelenetz AD, Gordon LI, Wierda WG, Abramson JS, Advani RH, Andreadis CB, et al. Non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, version 4.2014. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2014;12:1282–303. [https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2014.0125.](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2014.0125)
- 40. Cheson BD, Byrd JC, Rai KR, Kay NE, O'Brien SM, Flinn IW, et al. Novel targeted agents and the need to refine clinical end points in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:2820–2. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.43.3748>.
- 41. Estupinan HY, Berglof A, Zain R, Smith CIE. Comparative analysis of BTK inhibitors and mechanisms underlying adverse effects. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:630942. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.630942>.
- 42. O'Brien SM, Brown JR, Byrd JC, Furman RR, Ghia P, Sharman JP, et al. Monitoring and managing BTK inhibitor treatment-related adverse events in clinical practice. Front Oncol. 2021;11:720704. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.720704.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.720704)
- 43. Xiao L, Salem JE, Clauss S, Hanley A, Bapat A, Hulsmans M, et al. Ibrutinib-mediated atrial fibrillation attributable to inhibition of C-terminal Src kinase. Circulation. 2020;142:2443–55. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.049210>.
- 44. Shatzel JJ, Olson SR, Tao DL, McCarty OJT, Danilov AV, DeLoughery TG. Ibrutinibassociated bleeding: pathogenesis, management and risk reduction strategies. J Thromb Haemost. 2017;15:835–47. [https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.13651.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.13651)
- 45. Dy GK, Adjei AA. Understanding, recognizing, and managing toxicities of targeted anticancer therapies. CA Cancer J Clin. 2013;63:249-79. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21184) [10.3322/caac.21184.](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21184)
- 46. Mulder TA, Pena-Perez L, Berglof A, Meinke S, Estupinan HY, Heimersson K, et al. Ibrutinib has time-dependent on- and off-target effects on plasma biomarkers and immune cells in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Hemasphere. 2021;5:e564. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000564>.
- 47. Kaur V, Swami A. Ibrutinib in CLL: a focus on adverse events, resistance, and novel approaches beyond ibrutinib. Ann Hematol. 2017;96:1175–84. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-017-2973-2) [doi.org/10.1007/s00277-017-2973-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-017-2973-2)
- 48. Guo Y, Liu Y, Hu N, Yu D, Zhou C, Shi G, et al. Discovery of zanubrutinib (BGB-3111), a novel, potent, and selective covalent inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase. J Med Chem. 2019;62:7923–40. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00687>.
- 49. Shadman M, Flinn IW, Levy MY, Porter RF, Burke JM, Zafar SF, et al. Zanubrutinib in patients with previously treated B-cell malignancies intolerant of previous Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the USA: a phase 2, open-label, single-arm study. Lancet Haematol. 2023;10:e35–e45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3026(22)00320-9) [3026\(22\)00320-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3026(22)00320-9).
- 50. Tam CS, Ou YC, Trotman J, Opat S. Clinical pharmacology and PK/PD translation of the second-generation Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, zanubrutinib. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2021;14:1329–44. [https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2021.1978288.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2021.1978288)
- 51. European Medicines Agency. Brukinsa public assessment report. 2021. [https://](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/brukinsa-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf) [www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/brukinsa-epar-public](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/brukinsa-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf)[assessment-report_en.pdf.](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/brukinsa-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf) Accessed July 25, 2023.
- 52. Ou YC, Tang Z, Novotny W, Cohen A, Wang K, Liu L, et al. Rationale for once-daily or twice-daily dosing of zanubrutinib in patients with mantle cell lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2021;62:2612–24. [https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2021.1929961.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2021.1929961)
- 53. Tam CS, Trotman J, Opat S, Burger JA, Cull G, Gottlieb D, et al. Phase 1 study of the selective BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib in B-cell malignancies and safety and efficacy evaluation in CLL. Blood. 2019;134:851–9. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001160) [blood.2019001160](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001160).
- 54. Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre SE, Flinn IW, Burger JA, Blum KA, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:32–42. [https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1215637.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1215637)
- 55. Marostica E, Sukbuntherng J, Loury D, de Jong J, de Trixhe XW, Vermeulen A, et al. Population pharmacokinetic model of ibrutinib, a Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with B cell malignancies. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2015;75:111–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-014-2617-3>.
- 56. Brown JR, Eichhorst B, Hillmen P, Lamanna N, O'Brien SM, Tam CS, et al. Zanubrutinib demonstrates superior progression-free survival (PFS) compared with ibrutinib for treatment of relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma (R/R CLL/SLL): results from final analysis of ALPINE randomized phase 3 study. Blood. 2022;140:LBA-6. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2022-171538) [10.1182/blood-2022-171538](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2022-171538).
- 57. Brukinsa (Zanubrutinib) [package insert]. San Meteo, CA: BeiGene USA Inc; 2021.
- 58. Ou YC, Tang Z, Novotny W, Tawashi M, Li TK, Coleman HA, et al. Evaluation of drug interaction potential of zanubrutinib with cocktail probes representative of CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, P-gp and BCRP. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2021;87:2926–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14707>.
- 59. Muñoz JL, Chavez, JC, Sotomayor EM, Barrientos JC, Castillo JJ. Multidisciplinary approach to managing BTK inhibitor toxicity in lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Interdisciplinary Cancer Research Springer, Cham, Switzerland; 2023.
- 60. Song Y, Sun M, Qi J, Xu W, Zhou J, Li D, et al. A two-part, single-arm, multicentre, phase I study of zanubrutinib, a selective Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in Chinese patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies. Br J Haematol. 2022;198:62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.18162>.
- 61. Tam C, Grigg AP, Opat S, Ku M, Gilbertson M, Anderson MA, et al. The BTK inhibitor, Bgb-3111, is safe, tolerable, and highly active in patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies: initial report of a phase 1 first-in-human trial. Blood. 2015;126:832.
- 62. Tam CS, Opat S, Simpson D, Cull G, Munoz J, Phillips TJ, et al. Zanubrutinib for the treatment of relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. Blood Adv. 2021;5:2577–85. [https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020004074.](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020004074)
- 63. Tam CS, Wang M, Simpson D, Opat S, Cull G, Munoz J, et al. Updated safety and efficacy data in the phase 1 trial of patients with mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)

treated with Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor zanubrutinib (BGB-3111). Hematol Oncol. 2019;37:245–47. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.55_2630.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.55_2630)

- 64. Song Y, Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, et al. Treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma with zanubrutinib, a selective inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26:4216–24. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3703) doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3703.
- 65. Song Y, Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, et al. Zanubrutinib in relapsed/ refractory mantle cell lymphoma: long-term efficacy and safety results from a phase 2 study. Blood. 2022;139:3148–58. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2021014162>.
- 66. Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, Zhang H, et al. Zanubrutinib monotherapy in relapsed/refractory mantle cell lymphoma: a pooled analysis of two clinical trials. J Hematol Oncol. 2021;14:167. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01174-3>.
- 67. CALQUENCE [package insert]. Wilmington, USA. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; 2019.
- 68. Wang M, Rule S, Zinzani PL, Goy A, Casasnovas O, Smith SD, et al. Acalabrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma (ACE-LY-004): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. Lancet. 2018;391:659–67. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33108-2) [S0140-6736\(17\)33108-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33108-2).
- 69. Deshpande A, Munoz J. Zanubrutinib in treating waldenstrom macroglobulinemia, the last shall be the first. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2022;18:657–68. [https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S338655.](https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S338655)
- 70. Dimopoulos M, Opat S, D'Sa S, Jurczak W, Lee H-P, Cull G, et al. ASPEN: long-term follow-up results of a phase 3 randomized trial of zanubrutinib (zanu) vs ibrutinib (ibr) in patients (pts) with Waldenström macroglobulemia (WM). Hemasphere. 2022;6:1048–49. [https://doi.org/10.1097/01.HS9.0000847512.47964.b7.](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.HS9.0000847512.47964.b7)
- 71. Trotman J, Opat S, Gottlieb D, Simpson D, Marlton P, Cull G, et al. Zanubrutinib for the treatment of patients with Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia: 3 years of follow-up. Blood. 2020;136:2027–37. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020006449) [blood.2020006449](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020006449).
- 72. An G, Zhou D, Cheng S, Zhou K, Li J, Zhou J, et al. A phase II trial of the Bruton tyrosine-kinase inhibitor zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) in patients with relapsed/ refractory Waldenström macroglobulinemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27:5492–501. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-0539>.
- 73. Opat S, Tedeschi A, Hu B, Linton KM, McKay P, Chan H, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of zanubrutinib in patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) marginal zone lymphoma (MZL): final analysis of the Magnolia (BGB-3111-214) Trial. Blood. 2022;140:573–76. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2022-163371>.
- 74. Brown JR, Eichhorst B, Hillmen P, Jurczak W, Kazmierczak M, Lamanna N, et al. Zanubrutinib or ibrutinib in relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2022;388:319–32. [https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2211582.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2211582)
- 75. Byrd JC, Hillmen P, Ghia P, Kater AP, Chanan-Khan A, Furman RR, et al. Acalabrutinib versus ibrutinib in previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia: results of the first randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:3441–52. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.21.01210>.
- 76. Tam CS, Brown JR, Kahl BS, Ghia P, Giannopoulos K, Jurczak W, et al. Zanubrutinib versus bendamustine and rituximab in untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma (SEQUOIA): a randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23:1031–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00293-5) [S1470-2045\(22\)00293-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00293-5).
- 77. Munir T, Shadman M, Robak T, Brown JR, Kahl BS, Ghia P, et al. Zanubrutinib $(Zanu)$ vs bendamustine $+$ rituximab (BR) in patients (Pts) with treatment-naïve chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL): extended follow-up of the SEQUOIA study. Hemasphere. 2023;7:1149–50.
- 78. Sharman JP, Banerji V, Fogliatto LM, Herishanu Y, Munir T, Walewska R, et al. ELEVATE TN: phase 3 study of acalabrutinib combined with obinutuzumab (O) or alone vs O plus chlorambucil (Clb) in patients (Pts) with treatment-naive chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Blood. 2019;134:31. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2019-128404.) [10.1182/blood-2019-128404.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2019-128404.)
- 79. Zinzani PL, J. Mayer J, Trotman J, Bijou F, de Oliveira AC, Song Y, et al. Zanubrutinib plus obinutuzumab versus obinutuzumab in patients with relapsed/ refractory follicular lymphoma: updated analysis of the ROSEWOOD study. Hematol Oncol. 2023;41:119–21. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.3163_81.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.3163_81)
- 80. Tam CS, Dimopoulos M, Garcia-Sanz R, Trotman J, Opat S, Roberts AW, et al. Pooled safety analysis of zanubrutinib monotherapy in patients with B-cell malignancies. Blood Adv. 2022;6:1296–308. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2021005621>.
- 81. Brown JR, Eichorst B, Ghia P, Jurczak W, Kahl BS, Lamanna N, et al. Characterization of zanubrutinib safety/tolerability profile and comparison with ibrutinib profile in patients with B-cell malignancies: post hoc analysis of a large clinical trial safety database. Hemasphere. 2023;7:e356884b. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.HS9.0000969428.35688.4b) [01.HS9.0000969428.35688.4b.](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.HS9.0000969428.35688.4b)
- 82. Tam CS, Wallis N, Zhang M, Azmi S, Zhang J, Cohen A, et al. Rate of atrial fibrillation in patients with B-cell malignancies who undergo treatment with zanubrutinib. Am J Hematol. 2022;97:525–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26736>
- 83. Soumerai JD, Dogan A, Seshan V, Flatherty K, Carter J, Hochberg E, et al. Longterm follow-up of multicenter phase II trial of zanubrutinib, obinutuzumab, and

venetoclax (BOVen) in previously untreated patients with CLL/SLL. Hematol Oncol. 2023;41:223–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.3163_153.

- 84. Fan F, Yoo HJ, Stock S, Wang L, Liu Y, Schubert ML, et al. Ibrutinib for improved chimeric antigen receptor T-cell production for chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients. Int J Cancer. 2021;148:419–28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33212>.
- 85. Fraietta JA, Beckwith KA, Patel PR, Ruella M, Zheng Z, Barrett DM, et al. Ibrutinib enhances chimeric antigen receptor T-cell engraftment and efficacy in leukemia. Blood. 2016;127:1117–27. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-11-679134.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-11-679134)
- 86. Munoz JL, Wang Y, Jain P, Wang M. BTK inhibitors and CAR T-cell therapy in treating mantle cell lymphoma-finding a dancing partner. Curr Oncol Rep. 2022;24:1299–311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-022-01286-0>.
- 87. Qin JS, Johnstone TG, Baturevych A, Hause RJ, Ragan SP, Clouser CR, et al. Antitumor potency of an anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy, lisocabtagene maraleucel in combination with ibrutinib or acalabrutinib. J Immunother. 2020;43:107–20. [https://doi.org/10.1097/CJI.0000000000000307.](https://doi.org/10.1097/CJI.0000000000000307)
- 88. Wierda WG, Brown J, Abramson JS, Awan F, Bilgrami SF, Bociek G, et al. NCCN guidelines(R) insights: chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma, version 3.2022. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2022;20:622–34. [https://](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0031) [doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0031.](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0031)
- 89. Zelenetz AD, Gordon LI, Chang JE, Christian B, Abramson JS, Advani RH, et al. NCCN guidelines(R) insights: B-cell lymphomas, version 5.2021. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2021;19:1218–30. <https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2021.0054>.
- 90. Frustaci AM, Deodato M, Zamprogna G, Cairoli R, Montillo M, Tedeschi A. Next generation BTK inhibitors in CLL: evolving challenges and new opportunities. Cancers.2023;15:1504. [https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15051504.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15051504)
- 91. Blombery P, Thompson ER, Lew TE, Tiong IS, Bennett R, Cheah CY, et al. Enrichment of BTK Leu528Trp mutations in patients with CLL on zanubrutinib: potential for pirtobrutinib cross-resistance. Blood Adv. 2022;6:5589–92. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2022008325) doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2022008325.
- 92. Mato AR, Wierda WG, Ai WZ, Flinn IW, Tees M, Patel MR, et al. NX-2127-001, a first-in-human trial of NX-2127, a Bruton's tyrosin ekinase-targeted protein degrader, in patients with relapsed orrefractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia and B-cell malignancies. Blood. 2022;140:2329–32. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2022-164772) [blood-2022-164772.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2022-164772)
- 93. Robbins DW, Kelly A, Tan M, McIntosh J, Wu J, Konst Z, et al. Nx-2127, a degrader of BTK and IMiD neosubstrates, for the treatment of B-cell malignancies. Blood. 2020;136:34. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2020-141461>.
- 94. Abbvie Inc. Update on IMBRUVICA® (ibrutinib) U.S. Accelerated Approvals for Mantle Cell Lymphoma and Marginal Zone Lymphoma Indications [Internet]. 2023. Available from: [https://news.abbvie.com/news/press-releases/update-on](https://news.abbvie.com/news/press-releases/update-on-imbruvica-ibrutinib-us-accelerated-approvals-for-mantle-cell-lymphoma-and-marginal-zone-lymphoma-indications.htm)[imbruvica-ibrutinib-us-accelerated-approvals-for-mantle-cell-lymphoma-and](https://news.abbvie.com/news/press-releases/update-on-imbruvica-ibrutinib-us-accelerated-approvals-for-mantle-cell-lymphoma-and-marginal-zone-lymphoma-indications.htm)[marginal-zone-lymphoma-indications.htm.](https://news.abbvie.com/news/press-releases/update-on-imbruvica-ibrutinib-us-accelerated-approvals-for-mantle-cell-lymphoma-and-marginal-zone-lymphoma-indications.htm)
- 95. Burger JA, O'Brien S. Evolution of CLL treatment—from chemoimmunotherapy to targeted and individualized therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2018;15:510–27. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-018-0037-8>.
- 96. Parikh SA, Gale RP, Kay NE. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia in 2020: a surfeit of riches? Leukemia. 2020;34:1979–83. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-020-0852-7>.
- 97. Tam CS, Opat S, Cull G, Trotman J, Gottlieb D, Simpson D, et al. Twice daily dosing with the highly specific BTK inhibitor, Bgb-3111, achieves complete and continuous BTK occupancy in lymph nodes, and is associated with durable responses in patients (pts) with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)/small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL). Blood. 2016;128:642.
- 98. Tam CS, Quach H, Nicol A, Badoux X, Rose H, Prince HM, et al. Zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) plus obinutuzumab in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and follicular lymphoma. Blood Adv. 2020;4:4802–11. [https://doi.org/10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002183) [bloodadvances.2020002183](https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002183).
- 99. Xu W, Yang S, Zhou K, Pan L, Li Z, Zhou J, et al. Treatment of relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma with the BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib: phase 2, single-arm, multicenter study. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13:48. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-00884-4.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-00884-4)
- 100. Munoz J, Wang Y, Jain P, Wang M. Zanubrutinib in lymphoproliferative disorders: a comprehensive review. Ther Adv Hematol. 2022;13:20406207221093980. [https://doi.org/10.1177/20406207221093980.](https://doi.org/10.1177/20406207221093980)
- 101. Opat S, Tedeschi A, Linton K, McKay P, Hu B, Chan H, et al. The MAGNOLIA trial: zanubrutinib, a next-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, demonstrates safety and efficacy in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27:6323–32. [https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1704.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1704)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all patients who have participated in the zanubrutinib studies, their supporters, and the investigators and clinical research staff from the study centers. Medical writing and editorial assistance were provided, under the direction of the authors, by Alberto Moldón, PhD, of Bio Connections, LLC (Chicago, IL), and supported by BeiGene USA, Inc.

 $\overline{12}$

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally to the development of this review, including drafting, reviewing, and confirming information included.

COMPETING INTERESTS

JM reports consulting fees from ADC Therapeutics, Alexion, Bayer, BeiGene, BMS, Debiopharm, Epizyme, Fosun Kite, Genmab, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Innovent, Janssen, Juno/Celgene, Karyopharm, Kyowa, MorphoSys/Incyte, Novartis, Pfizer, Pharmacyclics/AbbVie, Seagen, and Servier; research funding from Bayer, Celgene, Genentech, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Incyte, Janssen, Merck, Millennium, Pharmacyclics, Portola, and Seagen; honoraria from Curio, Kyowa, OncView, Physicians' Education Resource, Seagen, and Targeted Oncology; and speakers bureau for Acrotech/Aurobindo, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BeiGene, Celgene/BMS, Genentech/Roche, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Kyowa, Seagen, and Verastem. JFS reports research funding from AbbVie, BMS, and Roche; and advisory board/honoraria from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, BMS, Genentech, Genor Bio, Gilead, Janssen, Roche, Sunesis, and TG Therapeutics. CST received research support from Janssen and AbbVie; received honoraria from BeiGene, AbbVie, and Janssen; and received other remuneration from BeiGene. SO reports funding from BeiGene paid to Monash University, with regards to the submitted work; receiving honoraria and participating on an advisory board for BeiGene, outside the submitted work.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Constantine S. Tam.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons $\overline{0}$ $|c_{cc}|\$ Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2023